

Waterbury, CT 06721-1110

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 1134 10/678,830 10/03/2003 John Grunwald 26223-06A EXAMINER 02/09/2006 7590 John L. Cordani WILSON, LEE D Carmody & Torrance LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 50 Leavenworth Street P.O. Box 1110 3723

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/678,830	GRUNWALD, JOHN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		LEE D. WILSON	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	•	-· action is non-final.		
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
8)□				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
/-	,,_			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
AMash				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/678,830

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following claims vague, indefinite, awkwardly and confunsingly worded:
 - i. Claims 14 –16 incorporating a method step of making the apparatus in an apparatus claim. The applicant must base the patentablility of the apparatus on structure and not the means or method in which the structure is made. This is the process of making the tool or the manufacturing of the tool instead of the structure relation of the parts of the tool.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12.-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker III et al (2002/0004357A1) in view of James et al (6488570).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,830

Art Unit: 3723

Page 3

- a. Baker III et al disclose a cmp pad having an interior segment and an outer surface with a metal film (see claim 1, and par.15) and in regard to claim 13 see par.21. In regard to the method steps, since this is an apparatus claim the method on how this apparatus was formed could be any of the steps outlined by applicant however, these limitations are address but cannot not receive patentable weight as result of rendering the metes and bounds of the invention indefinite.
- b. Baker III et al does not disclose an outer surface being .05 microns thick.
- c. James et al disclose a cmp pad having an outer surface (col.4, lines 7-15) being .05 micron thick which allows for the abrasion surface to abrade.
- d. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Baker III et al device by providing an outer surface that was .05 microns thick as taught by James et al which allows for the abrasion surface to abrade.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Selvamanickam et al discloses an invention.

4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,830

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

February 3, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4